

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/464,378

Applicant(s)

Bracho et al.

Examiner

David Jung

Art Unit

2134



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David Jung, PTO

(3) _____

(2) CHid Iyer, App's Rep.

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 28, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:
all

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

applicant agreed on cancellation of claims 11-17,

discussed claims versus prior art

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

DAVID JUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2134

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required